

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,779	03/04/2004	Kazundo Akamata	249926US0	4405
22850	7590 05/31/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			WATKINS III, WILLIAM P	
	940 DUKE STREET LEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1772	
			DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/791,779	AKAMATA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	William P. Watkins III	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  lety filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	ar <u>ch 2006</u> .	•				
, ,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-19 is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  6) Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: Thomson translation of JP-A 6-264369.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,779 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

## DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The 112 rejection in section 1 of the detailed portion of the office action mailed 13 December 2005 is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments to claim 1 in the paper filed 13 March 2006.
- 2. The 103 rejection given in section 3 of the detailed portion of the office action mailed 13 December 2005 is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments and arguments in the paper filed 13 March 2006.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 8-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grafton (U.S. 5,813,932) in view of Okawa et al. (JP-A 06-264369, Thomson translation).

Application/Control Number: 10/791,779

Art Unit: 1772

Page 3

Grafton teaches a ball with an outer skin made of high quality artificial suede or nubuck leather (col. 3, lines 10-15). Okawa et al. teach a process of forming an embossed synthetic nubuck or suede leather surface with micropores in the convex portions of the embossed surface (constitution, Thomson translation). The diameter of holes in the convex portions is 10 to 100 micrometers (section 0014 of the translation). holes are substantially smaller or nonexistent in the concave portions of the surface (0014). The instant invention claims a synthetic surface with micropores on the surface of convex embossments and substantially no micropores in the concave sections of the surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill of the art to have used the artificial leather of Okawa et al. as the high quality leather of Grafton in order to provide a specific high quality synthetic leather with a suede like surface because of the teachings of Okawa et al.

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, and 8-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William

Application/Control Number: 10/791,779

Art Unit: 1772

P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 571-272-1503. The examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M-1, Water

WW/ww May 29, 2006 PRIMARY EXAMINER